Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,414	MIKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kuang Y. Lin	1793
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>amendment after first office</u> <u>action</u>		
(1) <u>Kuang Y. Lin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Barth</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 July 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claim 1 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed that the language of last six lines of claim 1 should be changed to better define the scope of the claim. See examiner's amendment for detail.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)